

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH  
CENTRAL DIVISION

---

DAVID WALKER, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

OREM CITY, et al.,

Defendants,

MEMORANDUM DECISION AND  
ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT  
PETERSON'S MOTION IN LIMINE  
REGARDING DIVORCE

Case No. 2:02-CV-253 TS

---

Defendant Peterson seeks to exclude any reference to his divorce or any relating proceedings. Plaintiff has not responded to this Motion.<sup>1</sup> As Peterson's divorce is irrelevant to the issues to be decided at trial and Plaintiff has submitted no objection, evidence relating to Defendant Peterson's divorce will be excluded. It is therefore


ORDERED that Defendant Peterson's Motion in Limine Regarding Divorce (Docket No. 275) is GRANTED.

---

<sup>1</sup>DUCivR 7-1(d) ("Failure to respond timely to a motion may result in the court's granting the motion without further notice.")

DATED October 5, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
TED STEWART  
United States District Judge